TIMELINE FOR APPR: PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL REVIEW for TEACHERS (PART) 2013-2014

Month	Tasks	IMPORTANT Deadlines
June	☐ Teacher chooses evaluation process for Professional Practice Review: Administrator, Administrator/Peer Review, or Administrator/PART.	Teacher Evaluation Selection due by <u>June 21, 2013</u>
September- January 31	 □ Teacher recruits PART Reviewers and chooses PART Option. □ Teacher completes PART Form #1 and submits to PART Reviewers. □ Administrator uploads Part Form #1 into e-Performance. 	PART Reviewers should be selected and PART Form #1 submitted no later than <u>January</u> 31, 2014.
September- April	☐ Teacher collects PART evidence throughout the school year.	
April-June	 □ At least one week prior to the Structured PART interview, Teacher provides binder of documentation and PART Form #2 to PART reviewers. □ Teacher meets with PART Reviewers for Structured PART Interview no later than May 15th. □ After the Structured Interview, PART Reviewers meet to reach consensus about the ratings for the elements in each Domain. □ Teacher's Direct Supervisor inputs the team's evaluation into e-Performance. □ Direct Supervisor prints out the evaluation and provides it to the other PART Reviewers. □ PART Reviewers confirm evaluation consensus by signing PART Form #3. □ Direct Supervisor uploads a signed copy of Form #3 to e-Performance. □ Teacher acknowledges Evaluation on e-Performance and may add comments or rebuttal. 	Structured PART Interview must take place no later than May 15 th , 2014. Professional Practice Review must be completed and entered into e-Performance by June 1, 2014.
July-August	 □ Composite Scores sent to teachers. □ Appeals filed. □ If a teacher receives an APPR composite score of "Ineffective" or "Developing," a TIP or Development Plan must be written in consultation with the Administrator and Teacher (and Mentor, Peer Reviewer, and/or union representative as applicable). □ Teachers rated "Ineffective" should be referred to CIT for a Professional Support Mentor. Teachers who receive two consecutive APPR composite ratings of "Ineffective" must be referred to CIT and offered Intervention support. 	Appeals must be filed within 15 days from the receipt of the APPR Composite Score. For teachers rated "Ineffective" or "Developing" based on APPR composite scores, a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) or Development Plan is due no later than ten days after the start of the school year (see p. 8).

Performance Appraisal Review for Teachers (PART) PART Form #1 DECLARATION

This form should be completed by the teacher and signed by the PART Reviewers. The Direct Supervisor should upload the signed document into e-Performance. A PART Review Team consists of the teacher's direct supervisor and at least one teacher from the same certification area. A teacher may choose to select an additional 3rd reviewer.

Teacher:	Empl. ID#: Tenure Area:				
Position:					
Principal		School/Location:			
PART REVIEWER NAMES	<u>Position</u>	School/Location	Tenure Area		
1	Direct Supervisor		not applicable		
2	Teacher				
3(optional)	Teacher				
 □ PART Option #1 Structured Review of Student Work (see p. 82 and page 84 in the Teacher Evaluation Guidebook for an explanation of what should be included in this option.) □ PART Option #2 Teacher Portfolio (see p. 83 in the Teacher Evaluation Guidebook for an explanation of what should be included in this option) 					
PART REVIEWER SIGNATURES I agree to be a PART Reviewer for the teareviewing the materials submitted by the the PART Reviewers (including the Direct Performance.	teacher for a Structured	PART Interview. After co	nsensus is reached by		
Signature of Direct Supervisor:	Da	ate:			
Signature of Reviewer #1 Teacher:	Da	ate:			
Signature of Optional Reviewer #2 Teach	Da	ate:			

Performance Appraisal Review for Teachers (PART)

PART Form #2 EVIDENCE

 At least one week prior to the Structured Interview held in the spring, this form and the PART Portfolio binder of Student Work documentation should be provided to the PART Reviewers (including the Direct Supervisor). At the Structured Interview (which must take place before June 1st), the teacher should explain how the artifacts relate to the elements within each Domain in the Framework for Teaching (Teachscape Rubric). The PART Reviewers may use this form to record evidence about the elements within each Domain that are discussed during the Structured Interview. After the Structured Interview, the PART Reviewers (including the Direct Supervisor) should meet to discuss the evidence. Using the Teachscape Rubric, they should reach consensus about the rating and optional narrative comments for each element within each Domain. 						
Teacher being evaluated: Reviewer #1 Teacher:	Direct Supervisor: Optional Reviewer #2 Teacher:					
Relationship to the Framework for Teaching Your PART work must align with the expectations fo District. Please consult the Teacher Evaluation Guid Domain 1: Planning and Preparation	r professional teachers in the Rochester City School					
demonstrating knowledge of content and pedagogy; demonstrating knowledge of students; setting instructional outcomes; demonstrating knowledge of resources; designing coherent instruction; designing student assessments	creating an environment of respect and rapport; establishing a culture for learning; managing classroom procedures; managing student behavior; organizing physical space					

Performance Appraisal Review for Teachers (PART) PART Form #2 EVIDENCE (continued)

	<u> </u>
Domain 3: Instruction communicating with students; using questioning and discussion techniques;	Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities reflection on teaching; maintaining accurate records; communicating with
engaging students in learning; using assessment in instruction;	families; participating in a professional community; growing and developing
demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness	professionally, showing professionalism
Other Comments Or Questions:	

Performance Appraisal Review for Teachers (PART) PART Form #3 (FINAL SIGNATURES)

These signatures indicate that the PART process as described below has been completed.

FI	NAL PROCESS TO BE COMPLETED BY REVIEWERS:				
	fter the Structured Interview, the PART Reviewers (including the Direct Supervisor) should meet to iscuss and assess the evidence in the Portfolio binder or Review of Student Work documentation.				
	Using the Teachscape Rubric, PART Reviewers should reach consensus about the rating and optional narrative comments for each element within each Domain.				
	The Direct Supervisor is responsible for inputting the PART Reviewers' Evaluation into e-Performance.				
	Because the PART Reviewers must have consensus on the ratings provided, the Direct Supervisor must print out the PART Evaluation and show this to the rest of the PART team to confirm consensus.				
	All parties should sign this form to confirm that the PART process has been completed and to confirm				
	that the uploaded evaluation is the one agreed-upon by the PART Reviewers.				
	After this form is signed, the Direct Supervisor should upload this form into e-Performance.				
	SIGNATURES By signing this form, all of us agree that we have completed the PART proc	ess as described above.			
	Signature of Direct Supervisor:	Date:			
	Signature of Reviewer #1 Teacher:	Date:			
	Signature of Optional Reviewer #2 Teacher:	Date:			

Signature of Teacher Being Evaluated:

Date: